IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No.: 1:22-cv-02071-STV

UNITED STATES ex rel. WADE RINER. Plaintiff.

CASE RESTRICTED

V.

BEAVER RUN HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, ANTLERS CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, ASPEN ALPS CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC., ASPEN SQUARE CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC., AUSTRIA HAUS CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC., AVON LAKE VILLAS CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC., CHRISTIE LODGE OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC., CLUB TELLURIDE OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC., CRESTWOOD CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC., DANCING BEAR RESIDENCES OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC., FORT COLLINS COUNTRY CLUB, THE HEATHER GARDENS ASSOCIATION.

MANOR VAIL CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, MOUNTAIN HAUS CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION. NORTH OF NELL CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, PARK PLAZA CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, RACQUET CLUB OWNERS ASSOCIATION, RANCH MEMBERS GROUP INC., SAN MORTIZ CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, THE SANDSTONE CREEK CLUB CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC., STONEBRIDGE CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, TIMBERLINE CONDOMINIUMS ASSOCIATION, INC., THE VILLAGE AT COPPER ASSOCIATION, WHALE ROCK COUNTRY CLUB, and WINDSOR GARDENS ASSOCIATION.

Defendants.

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Jason J. Patel, Esq. of The Hustead Law Firm, A

Professional Corporation, hereby enters his appearance as counsel for Defendant, The Heather Gardens Association, for all claims made against it in this action. All correspondence, pleadings, and/or documents related to this case should be forwarded to Mr. Patel in addition to the other attorneys who have entered their appearances.

Respectfully submitted this 17th day of January, 2024.

THE HUSTEAD LAW FIRM A Professional Corporation

The Original Signature is on File at The Hustead Law Firm, A Professional Corporation

s/Jason J. Patel
Patrick Q. Hustead, Esq.
Aaron M. Bell, Esq.
Jason J. Patel, Esq.
The Hustead Law Firm, A Professional Corporation
4643 S. Ulster Street, Suite 1250
Denver, CO 80237
(303) 721-5000
pqh@thlf.com
amb@thlf.com
jip@thlf.com
Attorneys for Defendant The Heather Gardens
Association

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 17th day of January, 2024, I filed and served a true and correct copy of the foregoing ENTRY OF APPEARANCE via ICCES and/or Electronic Mail and/or U.S. Mail to the following:

Randall Carroll Owens
Wright & Close LLP
One Riverway, Suite 2200
Houston, TX 77056
Telephone (713) 572-4320
Owens@wrightclosebarger.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

Nicholas A. Deuschle Assistant United States Attorney 1801 California Street, Suite 1600 Denver, CO 80202 Telephone: (303) 454-0100

Facsimile (303) 454-0411
Email: Nick Deuschle@usdoj.gov
Attorney for Interested Party

The Original Signature is on File at The Hustead Law Firm, A Professional Corporation

s/Jason J. Patel
Jason J. Patel

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

§ §	ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF
§ &	FEDERAL FALSE
§	CLAIMS ACT
	FILED UNDER SEAL
§	PURSUANT TO 31 U.S.C.
§ §	§ 3730 (b)(2)
§ §	DO NOT PUT ON PACER
	DO NOT PLACE IN PRESS
§	BOX
§ §	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ex. rel. WADE RINER	§ § 8	CIVIL NO.
Plaintiff,		RELATOR WADE RINER'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT
v.	99999	
BEAVER RUN HOMEOWNERS	& & &	
ASSOCIATION, ET AL.	§ §	
Defendants.	§ §	

RELATOR WADE RINER'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
I.	INTR	ODUCTION: COVID-19, PPP, AND THE OPPORTUNISTS	2
П.	PART	TES	4
Ш.	RESP	ONEAT SUPERIOR AND VICARIOUS LIABILITY	7
IV.	JURIS	SDICTION AND VENUE	8
V.	ORIG	INAL SOURCE AND DISCLOSURES	8
VI.	THE 1	PAYCHECK PROTECTION PROGRAM	8
VII.	DEFE	ndants' Fraud	15
VIII.	ACTI	ONABLE CONDUCT BY DEFENDANTS	28
	A.	FALSE CLAIMS ACT	28
	В.	DEFENDANTS' VIOLATIONS OF THE FALSE CLAIMS ACT	29
	i.	Presentation of False or Fraudulent Claims (31 U.S.C. § 3729(A)(1)(A))	29
	ii.	Making or Using—or causing to be made or used—False Records or Statements Material to False or Fraudulent Claims (31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(B))	30
	C.	DEFENDANTS' VIOLATIONS OF THE FALSE CLAIMS ACT ARE MATERIAL.	31
IX.	CAUS	SES OF ACTION	33
	A.	COUNT I – PRESENTATION OF FALSE OR FRAUDULENT CLAIMS (31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(A))	33
	В.	COUNT II – MAKING OR USING A FALSE RECORD OR STATEMENT MATERIAL TO A FALSE OR FRAUDULENT CLAIM (31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(B))	34
X .	PRAY	ER FOR RELIEF	
XI.		AND FOR JURY TRIAL	

058

Plaintiff/Relator Wade Riner ("Relator" or "Riner") brings this action pursuant to the False Claims Act (FCA), 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729–32, and seeks to recover all damages, penalties, and other remedies established by the FCA on behalf of the United States of America and on his own behalf. Relator respectfully shows the following:

I. INTRODUCTION: COVID-19, PPP, AND THE OPPORTUNISTS

- 1. After the crisis of the COVID-19 Coronavirus pandemic began, Congress passed the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act—more commonly referred to as the "CARES Act"—in part to provide emergency relief to small businesses facing payroll difficulties and other concerns meeting their bottom-line in the midst of the pandemic. Unfortunately, this aid also attracted opportunistic actors who received aid that Congress never intended. Congress created the PPP in March 2020, as part of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief and Economic Security (CARES) Act, to provide emergency financial support to the millions of Americans suffering the economic effects caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. The CARES Act authorized billions of dollars in forgivable loans to small businesses struggling to pay employees and other business expenses. Throughout 2020 and 2021, PPP loan applicants were required to certify that they were eligible to receive a PPP loan.
- 2. Part of the aid in the CARES Act was the Paycheck Protection Program ("PPP"), which provides forgivable, emergency loans to small businesses that certify to the United States Small Business Administration that they are qualified to receive the interest-free loan, that their loan request is necessary for continued operation, and that they will use funds only to pay payroll expenses, rent, mortgage, interest, or utilities during a covered period.

059

- 3. The PPP loans were originally intended to help traditional small businesses make it through the economic downturn that came as a consequence of the pandemic, but others wanted in.
- 4. Numerous trade groups and similar associations lobbied Congress to be added as entities eligible for PPP loans. Among those groups were country clubs and community associations, which include housing cooperatives, condominium associations, and property owner associations.
- 5. In December 2020, Congress passed and the president signed into law amendments to the CARES Act (the Economic Aid Act) that allowed housing cooperatives to apply for PPP loans. Condominium associations, homeowners associations, and other community associations were not included in the amendments, and neither were other not-for-profit organizations like country clubs. The list of eligible recipients only expanded one more time near the end of the final PPP application period—when President Biden signed the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 into law on March 11, 2021, which included most 501(c) organizations as "additional covered nonprofit entities" but explicitly excluded 501(c)(4) organizations (the only 501(c) status for which a homeowners association or condominium association might be eligible).
- 6. Despite the industry's recognition that Congress had not allowed their participation in the Paycheck Protection Program, numerous community associations, particularly condominium associations, homeowners associations, and other not-for-profit organizations, applied for PPP loans anyway, falsely certifying to the United States that they were eligible for funding and that they needed the loans after also certifying in the Application that "I have read the statements included in this form, including the Statements Required by Law and Executive Orders, and I understand them." They did so even though they had millions of dollars in revenue every

year that was not reliant on the unpredictable swings of the economy but instead on a simple tool: assessments to their wealthy membership. Many country clubs nationwide acted similarly. Rather than obtain PPP loans as the intended American businesses in need, Defendants approached the program as opportunists. And by fraudulently applying for these PPP loans, the rich got richer while depriving needy Americans of the boost that Congress sought to give them.

- 7. In total, the Defendant not-for-profit condominium associations and not-for-profit homeowners associations fraudulently applying for PPP loans received millions of dollars in forgivable funding, directly depriving the United States of millions of dollars and preventing worthy and needy small businesses from receiving congressionally approved loans.
- 8. Not-for-profit community associations were not the only culprits. Not-for-profit so-called "country clubs" were not eligible and also wrongly applied for and received PPP.
- 9. As Acting Assistant Attorney General Brian M. Boynton has explained, "PPP loans were intended to provide critical relief to small businesses so that they could pay employees and maintain operations," and the Department of Justice is "committed to pursuing those who knowingly violated the requirements of the PPP or other Covid-19 assistance programs and obtained relief funds to which they were not entitled"—particularly, with the assistance of *qui tam* relators.

II. PARTIES

10. Plaintiff/Relator Wade Riner is a regular homeowner and investor. A corporation with which Riner is the officer and principle in turn owns multiple homes in Florida. Through ownership of these homes, Riner is a member of the Hunters Run Property Owners Association, Inc., and he was a member of the Boca West Master Association, Inc. until the fourth quarter of 2021. Through his membership in these homeowners associations in Florida, he learned that his

homeowners associations had applied for millions of dollars of federal relief through PPP loans, even though they were not a business interest that qualified for such loans under the CARES Act. Riner subsequently learned that his own homeowners associations and numerous others defrauded the United States government by falsely certifying they were eligible for PPP loans that they were not, in fact, eligible for. Riner is intimately familiar with the finances and organization of these particular homeowners associations as well as homeowners associations in general, including details of how such community associations are governed and how they raise funds through their membership and other sources of liquidity.

- 11. Defendants are all various not-for-profit entities. Although there may be some subtle differences in the Defendants' governing documents, Defendants can generally be categorized in one of three ways: as a not-for-profit homeowners association, a not-for-profit condominium association, or a not-for-profit country club.
- 12. Beaver Run Homeowners Association ("Beaver Run") is a not-for-profit condominium association located at 620 Village Rd., Breckenridge, CO.
- 13. Antlers Condominium Association ("Antlers") is a non-profit condominium association located at 680 Lionshead Place, Vail, CO.
- 14. Aspen Alps Condominium Association, Inc ("Aspen Alps") is a not-for-profit condominium association located at 700 S. Ute Ave., Aspen, CO.
- 15. Aspen Square Condominium Association, Inc. ("Aspen Square") is a not-for-profit condominium association located at 617 E. Cooper Ave, Aspen, CO.
- 16. Austria Haus Condominium Association, Inc. ("Austria Haus") is a not-for-profit condominium association located at 242 E. Meadow Dr., Vail, CO.

- 17. Avon Lake Villas Condominium Association Inc. ("Avon Lake Villas") is a not-for-profit condominium association located at PO Box 5290, Avon, CO.
- 18. Christie Lodge Owners Association, Inc. ("Christie Lodge") is a not-for-profit homeowners association located at 47 East Beaver Creek Blvd, Avon, CO.
- 19. Club Telluride Owners Association, Inc. ("Club Telluride") is a not-for-profit homeowners association located at 567 Mountain Village Blvd, Mountain Village, CO.
- 20. Crestwood Condominium Association, Inc. ("Crestwood") is a not-for-profit condominium association located at 400 Wood Rd., Snowmass Village, CO.
- 21. Dancing Bear Residences Owners Association, Inc. ("Dancing Bear") is a not-for-profit homeowners association located at 411 S Monarch St, Aspen, CO.
- 22. Fort Collins Country Club ("Fort Collins Club") is a not-for-profit country club located at 1920 Country Club Rd, Fort Collins, CO.
- 23. The Heather Gardens Association ("Heather Gardens") is a not-for-profit homeowners association located at 2888 S Heather Gardens Way, Aurora, CO.
- 24. Manor Vail Condominium Association ("Manor Vail") is a not-for-profit condominium association located at 595 Vail Valley Dr, Vail, CO.
- 25. Mountain Haus Condominium Association ("Mountain Haus") is a not-for-profit condominium association located at 292 E. Meadow Dr., Vail, CO.
- 26. North of Nell Condominium Association ("North of Nell") is a not-for-profit condominium association located at 555 E Durant Ave, Ste 2b, Aspen, CO.
- 27. Park Plaza Condominium Association ("Park Plaza") is a not-for-profit condominium association located at PO Box 358, Avon, CO.

- 28. Racquet Club Owners Association ("Racquet Club") is a not-for-profit homeowners association located at 4695 Racquet Club Dr., Vail, CO.
- 29. Ranch Members Group Inc. ("Ranch Members Group") is a not-for-profit country club located at 11887 Tejon Street, Westminster, CO.
- 30. San Mortiz Condominium Association ("San Mortiz") is a not-for-profit condominium association located at PO Box 169, Crested Butte, CO.
- 31. The Sandstone Creek Club Condominium Association, Inc. ("Sandstone Creek Club") is a not-for-profit condominium association located at 1020 Vail View Drive, Vail, CO.
- 32. Stonebridge Condominium Association ("Stonebridge Condo") is a not-for-profit condominium association located at 30 Anderson Ave., Snowmass Village, CO.
- 33. Timberline Condominiums Association, Inc. ("Timberline Condo") is a not-for-profit condominium association located at 690 Carriage Way, Snowmass Village, CO.
- 34. The Village at Copper Association ("The Village") is a not-for-profit homeowners association located at 189 Ten Mile Circle, Frisco, CO.
- 35. Whale Rock Country Club ("Whale Rock") is a not-for-profit country club located at 16235 West Belleview Ave, Morrison, CO.
- 36. Windsor Gardens Association ("Windsor Gardens") is a not-for-profit condominium association located at 595 South Clinton St, Denver, CO.

III. RESPONEAT SUPERIOR AND VICARIOUS LIABILITY

37. Any and all acts alleged herein to have been committed by Defendants were committed by officers, directors, employees, representatives, or agents who at all times acted on behalf of the named Defendants and within the course and scope of their employment or agency.

IV. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Jurisdiction and venue are proper in the District of Colorado, pursuant to the False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 3729–33), because Relator's claims seek remedies on behalf of the United States for multiple violations of 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729–30, and the Defendants can be found in this district. Because Defendants can be found in this state, personal jurisdiction is also proper.

V. ORIGINAL SOURCE AND DISCLOSURES

- 39. There are no bars to recovery under 31 U.S.C. § 3730(e). To the extent that any allegations or transactions herein have been publicly disclosed, Relator has knowledge that is independent of and materially adds to any publicly disclosed allegations or transactions and had provided that information to the United States prior to filing the complaint by serving a voluntary Pre-Filing Disclosure Statement.
- 40. As required by 31 U.S.C. §§ 3730(b) and (e), Relator submitted and Original Disclosure Statement to the Attorney General of the United States and the United States Attorney for the District of Colorado, as well as all material evidence and information, prior to filing and serving this Original Complaint.

VI. THE PAYCHECK PROTECTION PROGRAM

- 41. On March 27, 2020, the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (the "CARES Act"), Pub. L. 116-136, 134 Stat. 281, created the PPP, initially making \$349 billion in forgivable loans available to small businesses impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. The U.S. Small Business Administration ("SBA") administers the PPP, promulgates the rules and regulations governing the PPP, and guarantees all PPP loans made by lenders.
- 42. The PPP allowed small businesses (less than 500 employees) to obtain forgivable loans of up to \$10 million, at 1% interest, to cover payroll costs, rent, mortgage interest, utilities,

and other overhead expenses for a "covered period." CARES Act § 1102(a)(2)(36)(D)-(E), 134 Stat. at 288-90.

- 43. PPP loans were processed and approved by qualified lenders that the SBA approves. Lenders issued PPP loans without the SBA's prior review or express and individualized approval, but they agreed to be responsible for determining borrower eligibility under the SBA's criteria. They were "deemed to have been delegated authority by the [SBA] Administrator to make and approve covered loans." CARES Act § 1102(a)(2)(36)(F)(ii), 134 Stat. at 290.
- 44. For processing the PPP loans, the SBA reimbursed participating lenders with a processing fee equal to the amount of 5% of loans up to \$350,000; 3% of loans between \$350,000 and \$2,000,000; and 1% on loans of at least \$2,000,000. See CARES Act § 1102(a)(2)(36)(P), 134 Stat. at 293. Additionally, although the lenders funded the PPP loans with their own funds, the SBA 100% guaranteed these loans.
- 45. PPP loans are also subject to complete forgiveness. CARES Act § 1106, 134 Stat. at 297–302. And within 90 days of which forgiveness is given, the SBA remits to the lender the full amount of forgiveness, plus any accrued interest. *Id.* § 1106(c)(3), 134 Stat. at 298.
- 46. The PPP was a program under the Small Business Act, Section 7(a). Generally speaking, a key eligibility requirement for Section 7(a) SBA loans is that the applicant be a business operating for profit.
- 47. Pursuant to the CARES Act, PPP loans were originally available only to a "business concern, nonprofit organization, veterans organization,[] Tribal business," or sole proprietorship that employed no more than 500 employees. See CARES Act § 1102(a)(2)(36)(D), 134 Stat. at 288. Nonprofit-organization eligibility, however, was limited to 501(c)(3) organizations that are tax exempt. Id. § 1102(a)(2)(36)(A)(viii).

- Eligibility for PPP is also spelled out in Interim Final Rule #1 published in the Federal Register on April 15, 2020 (answering the question, "How do I determine if I am ineligible?"). As it stated: "Businesses that are not eligible for PPP loans are identified in 13 CFR 120.110 and described further in SBA's Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 50 10 Subpart B, Chapter 2, except that nonprofit organizations authorized under the Act are eligible." 85 Fed. Reg. 20812. Meanwhile, 13 CFR 120.110 states that "non-profit businesses" are ineligible.
- 49. Applicants for PPP loans were required to certify to a participating SBA-approved lender and to the SBA that they are eligible to receive the loan under the rules in effect at the time of their application. This certification came right after a certification that the applicants "read the statements included in this form" and "understand them." For example, the April 2020 SBA Form 2483 (the PPP application at the time) required applicants to certify as follows:



Paycheck Protection Program Borrower Application Form

By Signing Below, You Make the Following Representations, Authorizations, and Certifications

CERTIFICATIONS AND AUTHORIZATIONS

I certify that

- I have read the statements included in this form, including the Statements Required by Law and Executive Orders, and I understand them.
- The Applicant is eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time this application is submitted that have been issued by the Small Business Administration (SBA) implementing the Paycheck Protection Program under Division A, Title I of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act) (the Paycheck Protection Program Rule).
- The Applicant (1) is an independent contractor, eligible self-employed individual, or sole proprietor or (2) employes no more
 than the greater of 500 or employees or, if applicable, the size standard in number of employees established by the SBA in 13
 C.F.R. 121.201 for the Applicant's industry.
- I will comply, whenever applicable, with the civil rights and other limitations in this form.
- All SBA loan proceeds will be used only for business-related purposes as specified in the loan application and consistent with the Paycheck Protection Program Rule.
- To the extent feasible. I will purchase only American-made equipment and products
- · The Applicant is not engaged in any activity that is illegal under federal, state or local law.
- Any loan received by the Applicant under Section 7(b)(2) of the Small Business Act between January 31, 2020 and April 3, 2020 was
 for a purpose other than paying payroll costs and other allowable uses loans under the Paycheck Protection Program Rule.
- 50. The PPP applications also required applicants to certify that the loan request is necessary to support their ongoing operations. As SBA Form 2483 required:

CERTIFICATIONS

The authorized representative of the Applicant must certify in good faith to all of the below by initialing next to each one

The Applicant was in operation on February 15, 2020 and had employees for whom it paid salaries and payroll taxes or paid independent contractors, as reported on Form(s) 1099-MISC.

Current economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant.

- Recognizing that some applicants might be confused by this economic-uncertainty certification, the SBA provided a "safe harbor" when it issued Interim Final Rule #4 (85 Fed. Reg. 23450 published April 28, 2020) stipulating that "any borrower that applied for a PPP loan and repays the loan in full by May 7, 2020, will be deemed by SBA to have made the required certification in good faith." Interim Final Rule #4 additionally noted that the SBA would give additional guidance before May 14, 2020 concerning this certification, especially in helping PPP borrowers evaluate whether they "may have misunderstood or misapplied the statutory standard." As of May 13, 2020, SBA clarified in its Frequently Asked Questions section of Interim Final Rule # 9, (85 Fed. Reg. 29845 published May 19, 2020) stating that "borrowers must make this certification in good faith, taking into account their current business activity and their ability to access other sources of liquidity sufficient to support their ongoing operations in a manner that is not significantly detrimental to the business."
- 52. PPP applications additionally required applicants' authorized representatives to specifically certify that all information provided on the application is true and accurate in all material respects as well as to certify their understanding that a false statement made in order to obtain a guaranteed loan from the SBA is punishable under the law. Again, from the April 2020 SBA Form 2483:

I further certify that the information provided in this application and the information provided in all supporting documents and forms is true and accurate in all material respects. I understand that knowingly making a false statement to obtain a guaranteed loan from SBA is punishable under the lawl including under 18 USC 1001 and 3571 by imprisonment of not more than five years and/or a fine of up to \$250,000; under 15 USC 645 by imprisonment of not more than two years and/or a fine of not more than \$5,000; and, if submitted to a federally insured institution, under 18 USC 1014 by imprisonment of not more than thirty years and/or a fine of not more than \$1,000,000.

53. Finally, when PPP loan recipients apply for forgiveness of their loan, they must further certify, through their authorized representative, that they have "complied with all requirements in the Paycheck Protection Program Rules," including the statute passed in the CARES Act and the subsequent amendments. From SBA Form 3508S:

By Signing Below, You Make the Following Representations and Certifications on Behalf of the Borrower: The Authorized Representative of the Borrower certifies to all of the below by initialing next to each one

The Borrower has complied with all requirements in the Paycheck Protection Program Rules (Sections 7(a)(36), (7)(a)(37), and 7A of the Small Business Act, the PPP interim final rules, and guidance issued by SBA through the date of this application), including the rules related to:

- · eligible uses of PPP loan proceeds;
- the amount of PPP loan proceeds that must be used for payroll costs (including proprietor expenses for Borrowers that applied for loans using SBA Forms 2483-C or 2483-SD-C);
- the calculation and documentation of the Borrower's revenue reduction (if applicable); and
- · the calculation of the Borrower's Requested Loan Forgiveness Amount.

Information regarding these requirements may be found in the Form 3508S Instructions and the Paycheck Protection Program Rules.

- 54. The PPP was originally funded by Congress with \$349 Billion, but the funds were depleted on April 16, 2020—only weeks after the CARES Act passed. On April 24, 2020, the President signed the Paycheck Protection Program and Health Care Enhancement Act, Pub. L. 116-139, 134 Stat. 620, whereby Congress allocated an additional \$320 Billion in funding.
- 55. Congress further expanded the PPP at the end of the year in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, Pub. L. 116-260. The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, added \$284.5 Billion to the PPP. Additionally, the Act allowed certain entities to obtain "second draw" PPP loans. By May 5, 2021, these additional funds had also been depleted.
- 56. One further amendment in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021 made "housing cooperatives" eligible for PPP loans when it was enacted December 2020—but not homeowner associations, condominium associations, or other not-for-profit organizations. *See* Pub. L. 116-260, § 311(a). This is despite the fact that HOA condominium-association groups, along with country-club groups, lobbied Congress for their explicit inclusion.

- 57. Congress expanded the list of eligible recipients one last time near the end of the final PPP application period—when the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 was signed into law on March 11, 2021 and included most 501(c) organizations as "additional covered nonprofit entities." Yet Congress still explicitly excluded 501(c)(4) organizations—the only 501(c) status for which a homeowners association or condominium association might be eligible. Thus, homeowner associations and condominium associations were still clearly ineligible. And while certain nonprofit country clubs were then eligible for PPP loans, they were only eligible for the final weeks of the application period and only if they met the specific 501(c)(7) tax-exempt status. See 86 Fed. Reg. 15083 (explaining that the American Rescue Plan Act changes only "apply to PPP loans approved, and loan forgiveness applications submitted, on or after March 11, 2021").
- 58. The eligibility rules relevant to the Defendants for various nonprofit and not-forprofit organizations for PPP loans throughout the history of various congressional actions is summarized as follows:

Entity Type	Always eligible (CARES Act)		
501(c)(3) nonprofits			
Cooperative Associations	Eligible on or after Dec. 27, 2020 (Economic Aid Act)		
501(c)(7) nonprofits	Eligible on or after Mar. 11, 2021 (American Rescue Plan)		
501(c)(4) nonprofits	Never eligible		
Not-for-profit homeowners associations	Never eligible		
Not-for-profit condominium associations	Never eligible		
Not-for-profit country clubs	Never eligible		

59. Additionally, for entities that applied for a second-draw PPP loan, they again were required to certify their eligibility under the effective rules. From SBA Form 2483-SD:



Paycheck Protection Program Second Draw Borrower Application Form Revised March 18, 2021

By Signing Below, You Make the Following Representations, Authorizations, and Certifications

I certify that:

- . I have read the statements included in this form, including the Statements Required by Law and Executive Orders, and I understand them.
- The Applicant is eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time this application is submitted that have been issued by the Small Business Administration (SBA) and the Department of the Treasury (Treasury) implementing Second Draw Paycheck Protection Program Loans under Division A, Title I of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act), the Economic Aid to Hard-Hit Small Businesses, Nonprofits, and Venues Act, and Title V of the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (the Paycheck Protection Program Rules).
- The Applicant, together with its affiliates (if applicable), (1) is an independent contractor, self-employed individual, or sole proprietor with no employees; (2) employs no more than 300 employees; (3) if NAICS 72, employs no more than 300 employees per physical location; (4) if a news organization that is majority owned or controlled by a NAICS code 511110 or 5151 business, a nonprofit public broadcasting entity with a trade or business under NAICS code 511110 or 5151, or an Internet-only news or periodical publisher assigned NAICS code 519110 or an engaged in the collection and distribution of local or regional and national news and information, employs no more than 300 employees per location; or (5) if a 501(c)(3) organization, an eligible 501(c)(6) organization, other eligible 501(c) organization, eligible destination marketing organization, employs no more than 300 employees per physical location.
- I will comply, whenever applicable, with the civil rights and other limitations in this form.
- All loan proceeds will be used only for business-related purposes as specified in the loan application and consistent with the Paycheck Protection Program Rules including the prohibition on using loan proceeds for lobbying activities and expenditures. If Applicant is a news organization that became eligible for a loan under Section 317 of the Economic Aid to Hard-Hit Small Businesses, Nonprofits, and Venues Act, proceeds of the loan will be used to support expenses at the component of the business concern that produces or distributes locally focused or emergency information. If the Applicant is an Internet-only news or periodical publisher that became eligible for a loan under Section 5001 of the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021, the proceeds of the loan will be used to support expenses at the component of the business or organization that supports local or regional news.
- I understand that SBA encourages the purchase, to the extent feasible, of American-made equipment and products.
- The Applicant is not engaged in any activity that is illegal under federal, state or local law.
- 60. Additionally, second-draw PPP applications continued to require another certification that all information provided on the application is true and accurate in all material respects as well as to certify their understanding that a false statement made in order to obtain a guaranteed loan from the SBA is punishable under the law. From SBA Form 2483-SD:
- I further certify that the information provided in this application and the information provided in all supporting documents and forms is true and accurate in all material respects. I understand that knowingly making a false statement to obtain a guaranteed loan from SBA is punishable under the law, including under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 3571 by imprisonment of not more than five years and/or a fine of up to \$250,000; under 15 U.S.C. 645 by imprisonment of not more than two years and/or a fine of not more than \$5,000; and, if submitted to a federally insured institution, under 18 U.S.C. 1014 by imprisonment of not more than thirty years and/or a fine of not more than \$1,000,000.
- 61. Finally, qui tam lawsuits are an appropriate remedy for violations of the PPP rules and regulations. In remarks given on February 17, 2021, for example, Acting Assistant Attorney General Brian M. Boynton explained: "It is clear to me and my colleagues in the Civil Divisionand I'm sure to all of you-that the False Claims Act will play a significant role in the coming years as the government grapples with the consequences of this pandemic." As he further pointed out,

"[t]he vast majority of the funds distributed under these programs have gone to eligible recipients. Unfortunately, some individuals and businesses applied for-and received-payments to which they were not entitled." Accordingly, "qui tams will continue to be an essential source of new leads, and the Department [of Justice] will continue to rely on whistleblowers to help root out the misuse and abuse of taxpayer funds."

VII. DEFENDANTS' FRAUD

- 62. Defendants knowingly told the SBA and participating lenders that they were qualified entities to receive PPP loans, even though they are all not-for-profit homeowners associations, condominium associations, or country clubs that do not qualify as qualified entities under Congress's act. They also all knowingly misrepresented their need for these loans. Their fraud deprived the United States of the ability to loan appropriated funds to qualified businesses, as the funding for PPP loans ran out shortly after Defendants applied for their loans.
- knowing or recklessly disregarding the fact that they were qualified occurred despite them knowing or recklessly disregarding the fact that they were ineligible nonprofit organizations and that not-for-profit organizations like them were not eligible for-profit businesses under SBA Section 7(a) or "business interests" pursuant to the CARES Act or even SBA's guidance and regulations. In fact, the SBA published—and, upon congressional amendments, updated, FAQs for the PPP loans including an answer for "Who is Eligible"—and neither not-for-profit community associations like housing associations and condominium associations nor not-for-profit country clubs were *ever* listed in the answer to that question. The only community groups that was ever listed were housing cooperatives, and that was after specific congressional amendments to allow housing cooperatives to apply. Additionally, "non-profit businesses" were explicitly excluded in official guidance, further telling Defendants—who are all non-profit or not-

for-profit organizations—that they were ineligible. Further, because the associations' lobbyists were fighting for inclusion in the PPP, the Defendants inherently knew that they could not qualify without new amendments by Congress that never materialized. Defendants realized they could slip by rushed bankers and regulators by purporting to be a "business interest" without actually being one.

Defendants' other false certifications—that economic uncertainty made the PPP loans necessary to support their ongoing operations—were in bad faith, given the strong finances of Defendants and how they could raise money. The SBA required applicants to make this certification in good faith and admonished applicants to consider "their ability to access other sources of liquidity sufficient to support their ongoing operations in a manner that is not significantly detrimental to the business." The SBA even gave an example of a business that could not make this certification: "it is unlikely that a public company with substantial market value and access to capital markets will be able to make the required certification in good faith." There is no circumstance under which a homeowners' association, condominium association, or not-for-profit country club could certify in good faith that they do not have access to other sources of liquidity to support their ongoing operations. While Defendants were not public companies, they still had substantial value of their own and access not only to capital markets but also a more readily available source of funds—the wealthy members and assets. For example, Beavers Run, although nominally a homeowners association, states on its website its strategic objective "to ensure Beaver Run Resort generates to our homeowners the highest return on value of any condominium project in the Breckenridge Area." Beavers Run bragged about a record-setting \$30 million in revenue as recently as 2019, plus \$10 million returned directly to owners that year. Unlike businesses (who rely on sales by voluntary consumers, or other voluntary business) or even 501(c)(3) nonprofits

(who rely on voluntary donations), housing associations, condominium associations, and not-for-profit country clubs do not rely on such voluntary funding subject to economic whims—instead, they rely on mandatory assessments and/or dues and fees from their membership. Defendants' members are particularly wealthy, often with net worth of tens of millions of dollars, and it would not have been a hardship to raise their assessments and/or dues and fees in order to continue operations even assuming the highly doubtful proposition that the pandemic represented a hardship on these defendants.

- powers including the power to make contracts and guaranties, incur liabilities, and secure its obligations by mortgage and pledge of all or any of its property, franchises or income. *See* Colo. Rev. Stat. § 7-123-102. Homeowners associations and condominium associations generally have the additional powers to assess a sum of money which if not paid by the owner of a parcel, can result in a lien against the parcel. *See* Colo. Rev. Stat. § 38-33.3-302. These powers are further reason that Defendants' false certifications that they needed the PPP loans were thus in knowing or reckless ignorance of their true financial situation.
- 66. The Defendants' false certifications to the SBA and the lenders were material, because the SBA and lenders were relying on the honesty of applicants to process PPP loans as quickly as possible in order to get relief to American businesses as Congress intended.
- 67. Moreover, most of the Defendants, building on this fraud, have already applied for loan forgiveness from the United States and had their loans forgiven in full, thus directly depriving the United States of the full amount of the loans and the interest accrued on the loans. The forgiveness actually given (and forgiveness potential for entities that have not applied) is equal to hundreds of thousands if not millions of dollars per Defendant.

- 68. Some of the Defendants went even further and applied for a *second* loan, yet again fraudulently and falsely claiming that they were eligible entities under the CARES Act, even though they are just homeowners associations, condominium associations, or not-for-profit country clubs.
- 69. The damages suffered by the United States extended beyond the simple amount of the loans. The damages also include the Government's other incidental expenses supporting the particular PPP loans. For example, Defendants induced the United States to pay fees to banks and other financial institutions in order to execute these fraudulent loans.
- 70. Each Defendant knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." They also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Defendants each applied for and received loans in violation of the FCA as summarized in the following table:

Defendant	# of Loans Rec'd	Loan(s) Amount	Date Loan(s) Approved	Loan Forgiven?	Amount of Loan Forgiven
Beaver Run Homeowners Association		\$2,478,100	4/6/20	Yes	\$2,506,615
(https://hoa.beaverrun.com/realtorinfo)	2	\$2,000,000	1/26/21	Yes	\$2,019,616
Antlers Condominium Association	1	\$295,000	04/08/20	Yes	\$297,716
Aspen Alps Condominium Association, Inc. (https://www.aspenalps.com/)	2	\$443,500 \$489,319	4/13/20 2/6/21	Yes Yes	\$446,222 \$492,912
Aspen Square Condominium Association, Inc.	1	\$418,142	2/2/21	Yes	\$419,849
Austria Haus Condominium Association Inc	1	\$245,700	04/06/20	Yes	\$247,962
Avon Lake Villas Condominium Assoc Inc	1	\$137,300	04/11/20	Yes	\$138,715
Christie Lodge Owners Association Inc (https://www.christielodge.com/)	1	\$1,045,200	4/11/2020	Yes	\$1,056,523
Club Telluride Owners Association Inc	1	\$675,000	5/1/2020	Yes	\$684,173
Crestwood Condominium Association,	2	\$524,900	4/15/20	Yes	\$527,805

Inc.		\$531,699	1/30/21	Yes	\$535,079
Dancing Bear Residences Owners	1	\$372,100	4/29/2020	Yes	\$374,312
Association, Inc		\$399,990	4/6/2020	Yes	\$402,949
Fort Collins Country Club	2	\$570,153	2/19/2021	Yes	\$573,105
(https://fortcollinscc.com/)		\$370,133	2/19/2021	163	7373,103
The Heather Gardens Association (https://www.heathergardens.org/)	1	\$1,058,700	04/10/20	Yes	\$1,072,304
Manor Vail Condominium Association	2	\$741,600	04/08/20	Yes	\$745,988
(https://www.manorvail.com/)	2	\$741,600	02/10/21	Yes	\$747,141
	2	\$320,500	4/30/20	Yes	\$323,928
Mountain Haus Condominium Association	2	\$448,700	3/13/21	Yes	\$452,115
	_	\$197,500	04/15/20	Yes	\$198,815
North Of Nell Condominium Association	2	\$217,607	01/27/21	Yes	\$218,716
Park Plaza Condominium Association	_	\$209,800	04/07/20	Yes	\$211,991
	2	\$209,800	02/25/21	Yes	\$210,663
		\$283,700	4/8/20	Yes	\$285,962
Racquet Club Owners Association	2	\$283,700	2/25/21	Yes	\$285,765
Ranch Members Group Inc	1	\$677,200	4/10/2020	Yes	\$682,580
San Mortiz Condominium Association	1	\$100,700	04/12/20	Yes	\$101,500
The Sandstone Creek Club Condominium Association, Inc.	1	\$351,040	4/28/20	Yes	\$355,740
	2	\$312,500	4/10/20	Yes	\$314,384
Stonebridge Condominium Association		\$436,669	3/12/21	Yes	\$439,863
Timberline Condominiums Association,		\$307,700	4/10/20	Yes	\$309,732
Inc.	2	\$362,307	2/3/21	Yes	\$364,739
The Village at Copper Association	1	\$221,400	4/13/20	Yes	\$222,631
Whale Rock Country Club	1	\$540,000	5/1/2020	Unknown	Unknown
Windsor Gardens Association (https://www.windsorgardensdenver.org)	1	\$718,624	04/28/20	Yes	\$728,330

Beaver Run applied for and received a PPP loan of \$2,478,100, approved on April 6, 2020. Beaver Run applied for and was approved for a second PPP loan of \$2,000,000, approved on January 26, 2021. In Beaver Run's applications, Beaver Run knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Beaver Run already applied for

forgiveness for its first loan, and the United States forgave the first loan in the amount of \$2,506,615 (including interest). Beaver Run applied for forgiveness of its second loan, and the United States forgave the second loan in the amount of \$2,019,616 (including interest). Notably, Beavers Run in its applications

- 72. Antlers applied for and received PPP loan of \$295,000, approved on April 8, 2020. In Antlers's application, Antlers knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Antlers has applied for forgiveness, and the United States forgave this loan (through its agent lender) in the amount of \$297,716 (including interest).
- Aspen Alps applied for and received a PPP loan of \$443,500, approved on April 13, 2020. Aspen Alps applied for and was approved for a second PPP loan of \$489,319, approved on February 6, 2021. In Aspen Alps's applications, Aspen Alps knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Aspen Alps already applied for forgiveness for its first loan, and the United States forgave the first loan in the amount of \$446,222 (including interest). Aspen Alps applied for forgiveness of its second loan, and the United States forgave the second loan in the amount of \$492,912 (including interest).
- 74. Aspen Square applied for and received a PPP loan of \$418,142, approved on February2, 2021. In Aspen Square's application, Aspen Square knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request

necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Aspen Square already applied for forgiveness for its loan, and the United States forgave the loan in the amount of \$419,849 (including interest).

- Austria Haus applied for and received PPP loan of \$245,700, approved on April 6, 2020. In Austria Haus's application, Austria Haus knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Austria Haus has applied for forgiveness, and the United States forgave this loan (through its agent lender) in the amount of \$247,962 (including interest).
- April 11, 2020. In Avon Lake Villas's application, Avon Lake Villas knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Avon Lake Villas has applied for forgiveness, and the United States forgave this loan (through its agent lender) in the amount of \$138,715 (including interest).
- 77. Christie Lodge applied for and received PPP loan of \$1,045,200, approved on April 11, 2020. In Christie Lodge's application, Christie Lodge knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Christie Lodge has applied for forgiveness, and

the United States forgave this loan (through its agent lender) in the amount of \$1,056,523 (including interest).

- 78. Club Telluride applied for and received PPP loan of \$675,000, approved on May 1, 2020. In Club Telluride's application, Club Telluride knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Club Telluride has applied for forgiveness, and the United States forgave this loan (through its agent lender) in the amount of \$684,173 (including interest).
- 79. Crestwood applied for and received a PPP loan of \$524,900, approved on April 15, 2020. Crestwood applied for and was approved for a second PPP loan of \$531,699, approved on January 30, 2021. In Crestwood's applications, Crestwood knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Crestwood already applied for forgiveness for its first loan, and the United States forgave the first loan in the amount of \$527,805 (including interest). Crestwood applied for forgiveness of its second loan, and the United States forgave the second loan in the amount of \$535,079 (including interest).
- 80. Dancing Bear applied for and received PPP loan of \$372,100, approved on April 29, 2020. In Dancing Bear's application, Dancing Bear knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Dancing Bear has applied for forgiveness, and

the United States forgave this loan (through its agent lender) in the amount of \$374,312 (including interest).

- 81. Fort Collins Club applied for and received PPP loan of \$399,990, approved on April 6, 2020. Fort Collins Club applied for and was approved for a second PPP loan of \$570,153, approved on February 19, 2021. In Fort Collins Club's applications, Fort Collins Club knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Fort Collins Club already applied for forgiveness for its first loan, and the United States forgave the first loan in the amount of \$402,949 (including interest). Fort Collins Club applied for forgiveness of its second loan, and the United States forgave the second loan in the amount of \$573,105 (including interest).
- April 10, 2020. In Heather Gardens's application, Heather Gardens knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Heather Gardens has applied for forgiveness, and the United States forgave this loan (through its agent lender) in the amount of \$1,072,304 (including interest).
- 83. Manor Vail applied for and received PPP loan of \$741,600, approved on April 8, 2020. Manor Vail applied for and was approved for a second PPP loan of \$741,600, approved on February 10, 2021. In Manor Vail's applications, Manor Vail knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to

support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Manor Vail has applied for forgiveness for its first loan, and the United States forgave the first loan in the amount of \$745,988 (including interest). Manor Vail applied for forgiveness for its second loan, and the United States forgave the second loan in the amount of \$747,141 (including interest).

- Mountain Haus applied for and received PPP loan of \$320,500, approved on April 30, 2020. Mountain Haus applied for and was approved for a second PPP loan of \$448,700, approved on March 13, 2021. In Mountain Haus's applications, Mountain Haus knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Mountain Haus already applied for forgiveness for its first loan, and the United States forgave the first loan in the amount of \$323,928 (including interest). Mountain Haus applied for forgiveness of its second loan, and the United States forgave the second loan in the amount of \$452,115 (including interest).
- North of Nell applied for and received PPP loan of \$197,500, approved on April 15, 2020. North of Nell applied for and was approved for a second PPP loan of \$217,607, approved on January 27, 2021. In North of Nell's applications, North of Nell knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." North of Nell already applied for forgiveness for its first loan, and the United States forgave the first loan in the amount of \$198,815 (including interest). North of Nell applied for forgiveness for its second loan, and the United States forgave the second loan in the amount of \$218,716 (including interest).

- 86. Park Plaza applied for and received PPP loan of \$209,800, approved on April 7, 2020. Park Plaza applied for and was approved for a second PPP loan of \$209,800, approved on February 25, 2021. In Park Plaza's applications, Park Plaza knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Park Plaza already applied for forgiveness for its first loan, and the United States forgave the first loan in the amount of \$211,991 (including interest). Park Plaza applied for forgiveness of its second loan, and the United States forgave the second loan in the amount of \$210,663 (including interest).
- 87. Racquet Club applied for and received a PPP loan of \$283,700, approved on April 8, 2020. Racquet Club applied for and was approved for a second PPP loan of \$283,700, approved on February 25, 2021. In Racquet Club's applications, Racquet Club knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Racquet Club already applied for forgiveness for its first loan, and the United States forgave the first loan in the amount of \$285,962 (including interest). Racquet Club applied for forgiveness of its second loan, and the United States forgave the second loan in the amount of \$285,765 (including interest).
- 88. Ranch Members Group applied for and received PPP loan of \$677,200, approved on April 10, 2020. In Ranch Members Group's application, Ranch Members Group knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Ranch Members Group

has applied for forgiveness, and the United States forgave this loan (through its agent lender) in the amount of \$682,580 (including interest).

- 89. San Mortiz applied for and received PPP loan of \$100,700, approved on April 12, 2020. In San Mortiz's application, San Mortiz knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." San Mortiz has applied for forgiveness, and the United States forgave this loan (through its agent lender) in the amount of \$101,500 (including interest).
- 90. Sandstone Creek Club applied for and received PPP loan of \$351,040, approved on April 28, 2020. In Sandstone Creek Club's application, Sandstone Creek Club knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Sandstone Creek Club has applied for forgiveness, and the United States forgave this loan (through its agent lender) in the amount of \$355,740 (including interest).
- 91. Stonebridge Condo applied for and received a PPP loan of \$312,500, approved on April 10, 2020. Stonebridge Condo applied for and was approved for a second PPP loan of \$436,669, approved on March 12, 2021. In Stonebridge Condo's applications, Stonebridge Condo knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Stonebridge Condo already applied for forgiveness for its first loan, and the United States forgave the first loan in the amount of \$314,384 (including interest). Stonebridge Condo applied for

forgiveness of its second loan, and the United States forgave the second loan in the amount of \$439,863 (including interest).

- 92. Timberline Condo applied for and received a PPP loan of \$307,700, approved on April 10, 2020. Timberline Condo applied for and was approved for a second PPP loan of \$362,307, approved on February 3, 2021. In Timberline Condo's applications, Timberline Condo knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Timberline Condo already applied for forgiveness for its first loan, and the United States forgave the first loan in the amount of \$309,732 (including interest). Timberline Condo applied for forgiveness of its second loan, and the United States forgave the second loan in the amount of \$364,739 (including interest).
- 93. The Village applied for and received PPP loan of \$221,400, approved on April 13, 2020. In The Village's application, The Village knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." The Village has applied for forgiveness, and the United States forgave this loan (through its agent lender) in the amount of \$222,631 (including interest).
- 94. Whale Rock applied for and received PPP loan of \$540,000, approved on May 1, 2020. In Whale Rock's application, Whale Rock knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It

also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." The current status of this loan is unknown.

95. Windsor Gardens applied for and received PPP loan of \$718,624, approved on April 28, 2020. In Windsor Gardens's application, Windsor Gardens knowingly and falsely certified that it was "eligible to receive a loan under the rules in effect at the time th[e] application is submitted." It also falsely certified that "[c]urrent economic uncertainty makes this loan request necessary to support the ongoing operations of the Applicant." Windsor Gardens has applied for forgiveness, and the United States forgave this loan (through its agent lender) in the amount of \$728,330 (including interest).

VIII. ACTIONABLE CONDUCT BY DEFENDANTS

A. FALSE CLAIMS ACT

- 96. This is an action to recover damages and civil penalties on behalf of the United States and the Relator arising from false or fraudulent statements, claims, and acts by Defendants made in violation of the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729–32.
 - 97. The FCA provides that any person who:
 - (A) knowingly presents, or causes to be presented, a false claim or fraudulent claim for approval, [or]
 - (B) knowingly makes, uses, or causes to be made or used, a false record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim;

is liable to the Government for a civil penalty not less than \$11,665 and not more than \$22,331 for each such claim, plus three times the amount of damages sustained by the Government because of the false or fraudulent claim. See 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1).

- 98. The FCA defines "claim" as:
 - (A) [] any request or demand, whether under a contract or otherwise, for money or property and whether or not the United States has title to the money or property, that—
 - (i) is presented to an officer, employee, or agent of the United States; or
 - (ii) is made to a contractor, grantee, or other recipient, if the money or property is to be spent or used on the Government's behalf or to advance a Government program or interest, and if the United States Government—
 - (31) provides or has provided any portion of the money or property requested or demanded; or
 - (II) will reimburse such contractor, grantee, or other recipient for any portion of the money or property which is requested or demanded. ...

31 U.S.C. § 3729(b)(2).

- 99. The FCA allows any persons having knowledge of a false or fraudulent claim against the Government to bring an action in federal district court for themselves and for the Government and to share in any recovery as authorized by 31 U.S.C. § 3730.
- 100. Based on these provisions, Relator Riner, on behalf of the United States and on his own behalf, seeks through this action to recover damages and civil penalties arising from Defendants' violations of the False Claims Act.

B. DEFENDANTS' VIOLATIONS OF THE FALSE CLAIMS ACT

- i. Presentation of False or Fraudulent Claims (31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(A))
- 101. In 2020 and 2021, Defendants knowingly presented false and fraudulent claims for payment and approval directly to their lenders, deputized agents of the United States government, which falsely stated that they were eligible entities for PPP loans and that they needed the loans in order to support their ongoing operations. The falseness of these claims was exacerbated when

Defendants applied for forgiveness of their loans and falsely certified that they complied with all the requirements of the PPP Rules.

- 102. Due to Defendants' actions, Defendants collectively borrowed millions of dollars of forgivable loans that, even if paid back, are also set at a below-market interest rate. These loans were disbursed at the expense of countless United States businesses who qualified for PPP loans but could not apply because Congress's funding ran out. Additionally, the United States has paid millions of dollars to lenders for the forgiven loans, which is now lost. Accordingly, the United States has suffered actual damages and is entitled to recover treble damages plus a civil monetary penalty for each false claim.
 - ii. Making or Using—or causing to be made or used—False Records or Statements Material to False or Fraudulent Claims (31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(B))
- 103. In 2020 and 2021, Defendants made, use, or caused to be made or used, false records or statements material to false or fraudulent claims paid or approved by the Government.
- 104. Defendants knew that their applications falsely certified that they were entities eligible to receive PPP loans and that they falsely certified that the PPP loans were necessary to support ongoing operations. Beyond Defendants' own direct claims, Defendants' false statements knowingly caused these false claims, which were material to the lenders' eventual claims to the United States for additional interest and loan-forgiveness reimbursement.
- of forgivable loans that their lenders' then received millions of dollars in payments for administering and reimbursing. The United States disbursed this money to the lenders at the expense of countless United States businesses who qualified for PPP loans but could not apply because Congress's funding ran out. Additionally, the United States has paid millions of dollars to lenders for the forgiven loans, which is now lost. Accordingly, the United States has suffered actual

damages and is entitled to recover treble damages plus a civil monetary penalty for each false claim.

C. DEFENDANTS' VIOLATIONS OF THE FALSE CLAIMS ACT ARE MATERIAL.

- 106. A false or fraudulent claim under subsection (a)(1)(A) or a false record or statement under subsection (a)(1)(B) subjects a defendant to liability if it is material. The False Claims Act defines "material" as "having a natural tendency to influence, or be capable of influencing, the payment or receipt of money or property." 31 U.S.C. § 3729(b)(4).
- 107. The United States set up a multi-part process of reliance on others in order to expeditiously execute the PPP program and keep the economy on track despite the COVID-19 Pandemic. First, Congress and the SBA authorized certain lenders to issue PPP loans without the Government's prior review or express approval. Second, although lenders were delegated the responsibility for determining borrower eligibility, the lenders were directed to use forms promulgated by the SBA that included numerous certifications—including a certification of eligibility.
- 108. The lenders—and thus by extension the United States Government—relied heavily on these certifications. Indeed, when the PPP was originally funded with \$349 Billion, those funds were depleted less than three weeks after the CARES Act first passed. Lenders and the United States Government instinctively relied on applicants' promises of eligibility, particularly when no data submitted on the application obviously indicated ineligibility.
- 109. In particular, to process loans so quickly, lenders utilized an automated tool that compared loan data against publicly available information and identified anomalies that may indicate non-compliance purely based on this data. See SBA Procedural Notice, No. 5000-20092

(Feb. 10, 2021). This data was largely limited to information about the number of employees and payroll size of applicant companies, which were key components to qualifications as well. The automated tool's focus on this data, however, meant that qualifications such as the fact that only certain types of entities ("business interests" and certain specified other organizations) were less noticeable and more tied to applicants' certification that they qualified for the PPP loan in the first instance.

- 110. The SBA sometimes conducted additional data analysis beyond the automated tool in order to identify noncompliant applicants. But again, their data analysis was limited to the data available and necessarily was unable to catch entities that applied for PPP loans but were not "business interests" or other qualified organizations. Again, the SBA relied on the certifications of applicants that they were qualified for SBA loans.
- loans and that they complied with all PPP requirements, the Defendants here took advantage of the automated tool and data analyses used by lenders and the United States Government in order to defraud those institutions. Defendants' certifications of qualification and compliance, notwithstanding their knowledge that they did not qualify due to their HOA and condominium-association and not-for-profit country-club statuses, not only had the natural tendency to influence the award and forgiveness of PPP loans but in fact did so. Defendants' fraudulent and false certifications specifically evaded the compliance measures used by the United States Government and participating lenders. Had Defendants told the truth, and noted that they lacked qualification (or even that their qualifications were questionable) due to their HOA or condominium-association

^{.4}vailable at https://www.sba.gov/sites/default/files/2021-02/Procedural%20Notice%205000-20092%20-%20Revised%20PPP%20Procedures%20to%20Address%20Hold%20Codes-508.pdf.

or not-for-profit country-club status, they would not have received PPP loans or forgiveness for those loans.

IX. CAUSES OF ACTION

A. COUNT I - PRESENTATION OF FALSE OR FRAUDULENT CLAIMS (31 U.S.C. § 3729(A)(1)(A))

- 112. Relator alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in all paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 113. In 2020 and 2021, Defendants knowingly presented or caused to be presented false or fraudulent claims for payment or approval to the United States Government for PPP Loans and forgiveness of their PPP Loans.
- 114. Specifically, Defendants submitted or caused the submission of false or fraudulent claims when they applied for PPP loans and falsely and fraudulently stated that they were eligible entities for PPP loans and that they needed the loans in order to support their ongoing operations, even though they knew that they were ineligible and that they did not need the loans to support their ongoing obligations.
- 115. Defendants also submitted or caused submission of claims when they applied for forgiveness of their PPP loans and falsely and fraudulently certified that they complied with all the requirements of the PPP Rules, even though they knew they did not.
- 116. The United States Government, unaware of the material falsity of the claims made or caused to be made by Defendants, approved, paid, and participated in payments made by the Government's fiscal intermediaries for loans that were not allowed and in loan forgiveness that otherwise was not allowed.
- 117. By reason of these payments, approvals, and loan forgivenesses, the Government has been damaged in an amount yet to be determined. Accordingly, the United States suffered

actual damages and is entitled to recover treble damages plus a civil monetary penalty for each presentment of a false claim.

B. COUNT II – MAKING OR USING A FALSE RECORD OR STATEMENT MATERIAL TO A FALSE OR FRAUDULENT CLAIM (31 U.S.C. § 3729(A)(1)(B))

- 118. Relator alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in all paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 119. In 2020 and 2021, Defendants knowingly made, used, or caused to be made or used, false records or statements material to false or fraudulent claims paid or approved by the Government.
- 120. Defendants' statements of eligibility in their PPP applications were false.

 Defendants knew that these statements were false because Defendants knew that they were not business entities eligible to receive PPP loans.
- 121. Defendants' statements in their PPP applications that they that they needed the loans in order to support their ongoing operations were false. Defendants knew that these statements were false because they knew that they could continue their ongoing operations without a PPP loan.
- 122. Defendants' statements in their applications for forgiveness that they complied with all the requirements of the PPP Rules were false. Defendants knew that these statements were false because they were ineligible for PPP loans and that they did not need the loans in order to support their ongoing operations.
- 123. Defendants' false statements were material because the Government's lending agents—and by extension the United States Government itself—heavily relied on these certifications in processing PPP loans as quickly as possible with the assistance of an automated

tool that itself would trust these certifications. Defendants' false statements thus not only had the natural tendency to influence the award and forgiveness of PPP loans but in fact did so.

- 124. The United States Government, unaware of the material falsity of the claims made or caused to be made by Defendants due to these material statements, approved, paid, and participated in payments and forgiveness for loans that were not allowed.
- 125. But for Defendants' use of the materially false statements, the PPP loans would not have been paid.
- 126. Because of their false or fraudulent statements, Defendants earned millions of dollars through PPP loans to which they are not legitimately entitled. The ultimate submission to the federal Government of claims for payment was a foreseeable consequence of this fraud. As a result, the United States has suffered substantial damages and is entitled to recover treble damages and civil monetary penalties.

X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 127. WHEREFORE, Riner respectfully requests the Court enter judgment against Defendants for violations of the FCA and award the following:
 - a. damages in the amount of three times the actual damages suffered by the United
 States as a result of Defendants' conduct;
 - b. Civil penalties against Defendants up to the maximum allowed by law for each violation of 31 U.S.C. § 3729;
 - c. The maximum award Relator may recover pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3730(d);
 - d. All costs and expenses of the litigation, including attorneys' fees and costs of court; and

e. All other relief on behalf of Relator or the United States that the Court deems just and proper.

XI. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

128. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, Relator demands a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

Randall C. Owens

Texas State Bar No. 15380700

Michael Adams-Hurta

Texas State Bar No. 24097860

WRIGHT CLOSE & BARGER, LLP

One Riverway, Suite 2200

Houston, Texas 77056

Telephone: (713) 572-4321

Telecopier: (713) 572-4320

owens@wrightclosebarger.com

hurta@wrightclosebarger.com
Attorneys for Relator Wade Riner

093

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 15, 2022, a true and correct copy of this Original Complaint was forwarded to the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Colorado and the Department of Justice in Washington, D.C. via certified mail, return receipt requested.

/s/Michael Adams-Hurta
Michael Adams-Hurta